

# Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences

## **Does COVID-19 Threat Relate to Intergroup Attitudes? A Test in the U.K.**

Hiroataka Imada, Fanny Lalot, and Dominic Abrams

Online First Publication, September 15, 2022. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ebs0000311>

### CITATION

Imada, H., Lalot, F., & Abrams, D. (2022, September 15). Does COVID-19 Threat Relate to Intergroup Attitudes? A Test in the U.K.. *Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences*. Advance online publication. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ebs0000311>



SHORT REPORT

## Does COVID-19 Threat Relate to Intergroup Attitudes? A Test in the U.K.

Hiroataka Imada<sup>1</sup>, Fanny Lalot<sup>1,2</sup>, and Dominic Abrams<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for the Study of Group Processes, School of Psychology, University of Kent

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Psychology, University of Basel

Social and evolutionary psychologists propose that humans have acquired an evolutionary mechanism that facilitates pathogen avoidance behavior: the behavioral immune system (BIS). Previous studies have revealed that the BIS yields negative attitudes toward out-group members. Given the clear relevance of pathogen-avoidance psychology to individuals' reactions to the COVID-19 global pandemic, the present research examined whether attitudes toward potentially pathogenic outgroups during the pandemic would reflect the BIS. Using large-scale panel data ( $N = 1,548$ ) collected in May 2020 in 3 of the U.K.'s devolved nations (England, Scotland, and Wales), we examined whether perceived COVID-19 threat was associated with negative attitudes toward 2 different national out-groups linked to the initial outbreak (Italy and China), as well as the in-group (the U.K.). Failing to support the BIS hypothesis, mini-meta-analyses on results from the 3 nations revealed that COVID-19 threat was only very weakly associated with attitude toward the U.K., Italy, and China. Results suggest that implications from pathogen psychology might be more limited than previously thought and apply only to specific out-group members.

### **Public Significance Statement**

Using large-scale panel data collected during the COVID-19 pandemic (May 2020) in England, Wales, and Scotland, we examined whether perceived threat from the coronavirus would be related to intergroup attitudes toward the U.K., China, and Italy. Contrary to previous studies on pathogen psychology suggesting pathogen threat should predict negative attitudes toward out-groups, we found that COVID-19 threat was only weakly related to intergroup attitudes. Our results suggest that implications from pathogen psychology might be more limited than previously thought and apply only to specific out-group members.

*Keywords:* COVID-19, intergroup attitudes, pathogen threat, behavioral immune system

Pathogens act as a strong selection pressure (Dobson & Carper, 1996; Wolfe et al., 2007), and

researchers from various disciplines have studied how they contribute to shaping social behaviors. One important area of research investigates how pathogens relate to intergroup attitudes (Ackerman et al., 2018), with studies examining individual differences in sensitivity to pathogens (e.g., Faulkner et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2016; Klavina et al., 2011) and utilizing experimental manipulations of pathogen threat (e.g., Huang et al., 2011; Laakasuo et al., 2018). They revealed that experimentally induced pathogen threat leads to more negative out-group

---

Fanny Lalot  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1237-5585>

This research was supported by the Nuffield Foundation, Grant/Award: WEL/FR-000022582, awarded to Dominic Abrams and Fanny Lalot.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Fanny Lalot, Faculty of Psychology, University of Basel, Missionsstrasse 64a, 4055 Basel, Switzerland. Email: [fanny.lalot@unibas.ch](mailto:fanny.lalot@unibas.ch)

attitudes (for a review, see Kusche & Barker, 2019) and more positive in-group attitudes (Navarrete & Fessler, 2006).

Recently, the global COVID-19 pandemic has caught scholarly attention as an opportunity to investigate whether these laboratory-studies-based findings may generalize to explain the influence of actual pathogen threat on intergroup attitudes. The present study therefore tested whether perceived threat from COVID-19 would be associated with intergroup attitudes, using large-scale panel data collected at a critical period in the U.K. when pathogen threat was highly salient (May 2020): much of the U.K. was under lockdown, hospitalization and death numbers were rising, and vaccines had yet to be developed.

### BIS and Intergroup Attitudes

Schaller and colleagues argue that humans have acquired an evolutionary mechanism that helps them deal with pathogen threat: the behavioral immune system (BIS, Schaller, 2006, 2011; Schaller & Park, 2011). The BIS is supposed to detect cues of infectious diseases in the social environment and facilitate behavior that would protect people from these diseases, such as behavioral avoidance. Since failing to identify pathogen cues leads to more devastating outcomes than mistakenly responding to noninfectious cues (Haselton & Buss, 2000), the BIS tends to treat diverse noninfectious cues as pathogen threats and readily prompt behavioral immune responses (i.e., false positive bias; Ackerman et al., 2009; Duncan & Schaller, 2009; Miller & Maner, 2012; Park et al., 2007) – one such cue being, crucially, foreign nationality (Schaller et al., 2003).

Fincher and Thornhill (2012) argued that the BIS triggers behavioral avoidance toward others living outside of their natal area (i.e., out-group members) but also behavioral approach toward in-group members, and several studies have collated supporting evidence (e.g., Laakasuo et al., 2018; Navarrete & Fessler, 2006). First, studies show that the BIS is associated with in-group-oriented feelings and behaviors, such as increased positive in-group attitudes, conformity, and collectivism (see Imada & Mifune, 2021). Second, evidence suggests that the more sensitive individuals are to pathogens, the more negative their attitudes toward out-group members—especially immigrants (Aaroe et al., 2017; Faulkner et al., 2004; Hodson

et al., 2013; Ji et al., 2019; Karinen et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2016). In addition, individuals primed with pathogen threat express more negative attitudes toward out-group members than those who are not (Huang et al., 2011; Klavina et al., 2011; Krings et al., 2012).

Despite such evidence, there is an ongoing debate on *why* the BIS fosters negative attitudes toward out-group members. On the one hand, pathogen cues encourage individuals to avoid out-group members because these are likely to carry unfamiliar pathogens, which could be fatal to contract (the “out-group avoidance” account, Bressan, 2021; Fincher & Thornhill, 2008, 2012; but see de Barra & Curtis, 2012). On the other hand, the association between negative attitudes toward immigrants and disgust sensitivity disappears when individuals are assured that immigrants would conform to local norms (the “traditional norm” account, Karinen et al., 2019). This suggests that individuals would avoid out-group members not because they may carry unfamiliar pathogens, but rather because they may violate local norms that have been established, in part, to deal with pathogens (Aaroe et al., 2017; Murray et al., 2011). Overall, it is not yet certain whether the BIS facilitates negative attitudes toward all out-groups or particular out-groups only (Ji et al., 2019; Karinen et al., 2019).

### Pathogen Threat and COVID-19

Given that previous studies demonstrated that pathogen threat is associated with intergroup attitudes, it is important both as a test of the theory and in terms of practical implications to consider whether the threat-attitude relationship applies to individuals’ responses to the global COVID-19 pandemic (Ackerman et al., 2021). While prior research leads to the prediction that COVID-19 threat would produce negative out-group attitudes as a behavioral immune response, recent surveys have yielded conflicting results. Using panel data from Japan, Yamagata et al. (2021) found that while self-reported pathogen avoidance tendencies were negatively associated with out-group attitudes, these out-group attitudes did not decrease as the pandemic worsened (i.e., increase in daily new cases, implementation of stricter policies). In addition, Adam-Troian and Bagci (2021), using survey data from Turkey, suggested that COVID-19 threat was positively associated with *both* pro- and antirefugee attitudes during the pandemic.

Thus, the relationship between COVID-19 threat and intergroup attitudes deserves further scholarly investigation. Specifically, is the link between perceived COVID-19 threat and intergroup attitudes in the general population consistent with findings from laboratory studies?

In the present study, we examined attitudes toward the U.K. (in-group) and two national out-groups: Italy and China. Previous studies on the BIS and out-group attitudes typically investigated attitudes toward a single out-group in comparison to the in-group. However, given the controversy as to whether the BIS would treat all out-groups in the same manner or respond to specific out-groups (Kusche & Barker, 2019), it is important to investigate the relationship between pathogen threat and attitudes toward different out-groups. We chose Italy and China because they both had very high infection and death rates at the time of data collection (May 2020) and had been identified by news providers as the source (China) and conduit (ski resorts in Italy) of the virus. They could therefore be perceived as similarly dangerous in terms of pathogen threat. In addition, Italy is relatively culturally similar to the U.K., whereas China is more dissimilar, notably in terms of strict cultural norms and punishments for norm deviation (Chua et al., 2019; Eriksson et al., 2021; Gelfand et al., 2011), allowing us to distinguish between the pathogen avoidance and the normative accounts: While the out-group avoidance account would predict that threat should be associated with negative attitudes toward both countries, the traditional norm account predicts this relationship would exist for China but not Italy.

## Method

### Participants and Procedure

The present data was collected as part of a large-scale research project tracking social cohesion, political views, and views on COVID-19 in the U.K.. The surveys used for the present analyses involved representative panels from three devolved nations of the U.K.: Scotland, Wales, and England (more specifically the county of Kent)—hereafter referred to as “regions.” An aimed sample size of roughly 500 participants from each region was determined prior to data collection based on feasibility and funding capacities and desired power to detect small effect sizes.

A total of 1,571 respondents completed the online questionnaire in May 2020 during the U.K.’s first lockdown. We excluded 23 participants who failed attention checks, resulting in  $N = 1,548$  participants ( $M_{\text{age}} = 55.99$ ,  $SD = 14.80$ ; 782 female, 764 male), including 501 English, 529 Scottish, and 518 Welsh participants. Alongside other measures that are beyond the scope of the present paper, participants completed measures of perceived threat from COVID-19 and intergroup attitudes.

The three regions were selected to represent a variety of geographical, social, and political landscapes. We had no theoretical reason to expect that individuals in the different regions would show differing patterns of out-group attitudes. Thus, following Abrams and Travaglio (2018), we separately analyzed data from each region and treated them as conceptual replications of one another. We report regional results in online appendices alongside publicly accessible data and analysis code (<https://osf.io/b2zuf/>) and focus here on the meta-analyses of the effects observed in the three regions.

## Measures

### Perceived COVID-19 Threat

A single item measured perceived threat from COVID-19: “How much do you think the coronavirus poses a threat to the safety and security and/or to traditions and general way of life of people in Britain?” using a 5-point scale (1 = *Not a threat*, 5 = *Very serious threat*).

### Intergroup Attitudes

Intergroup attitudes were measured using a “feeling thermometer” (Lavrakas, 2012). Participants indicated their feelings toward the U.K., China, and Italy, as well as other countries that are not key to the current research question (0° = *Very cold and unfavorable*, to 100° = *Very warm and favorable*).

### Control Variables: Demographics

Given the potential influence of demographic factors, we used the following as control variables in the analyses: gender (recoded as 0 = *Male*, 1 = *Female*), age, 7-point political orientation (1 = *Left*, 7 = *Right*), 8-point subjective status (status ladder), ethnicity (recoded as 0 = *Non-White*, 1 = *White*), and personal exposure to COVID-19, which was the sum score of three indicators: whether participants had personally contracted the virus, whether someone close to them had contracted the virus, and whether they had

personally been seriously affected by COVID-19 (0 = *No*, 1 = *Not sure*, 2 = *Yes*) – resulting in a summed score ranging 0 to 6.<sup>1</sup>

## Results

Table 1 summarizes descriptive statistics and intermeasure correlations. For each region, we used a linear mixed model and allowed the intercept to vary between participants. We regressed attitudes on target country (within-person factor: U.K. vs. China vs. Italy), perceived COVID-19 threat (between-person predictor), their (cross-level) interaction, and control variables. Regression coefficients were converted into correlation coefficients to be used in the meta-analysis. We then meta-analyzed the COVID-19 threat  $\times$  target country interaction observed in the three regions. The meta-interaction effect was significant,  $r = .07$ , 95% CI [.02, .12],  $p = .008$  (see Figure 1), and homogeneous across samples, Cochran's  $Q = .55$ ,  $p = .76$ .

As the meta-interaction was found significant, we carried on to meta-analyze the simple slopes of COVID-19 threat on attitudes toward each target country. The overall association between COVID-19 threat and attitude toward the U.K. (in-group attitude) was only marginally significant,  $r = .05$ , 95% CI [.00, .10],  $p = .067$ , and homogeneous across samples, Cochran's  $Q = 1.06$ ,  $p = .59$ . We found a small and significant overall association between COVID-19 threat and attitude toward China,  $r = -.06$ , 95% CI [-.11, -.01],  $p = .020$ , homogeneously across samples, Cochran's  $Q = 1.49$ ,  $p = .47$ . Turning to attitudes toward Italy, results showed a nonsignificant overall association with COVID-19 threat,  $r = .04$ , 95% CI [-.01, .08],  $p = .167$ , again homogeneously across samples, Cochran's  $Q = 1.22$ ,  $p = .54$ . In sum, results indicated fairly weak relationships between perceived threat from COVID-19 and intergroup attitudes: the link was only marginal for the ingroup (U.K.), nonsignificant for Italy, and significant but fairly small for China.

## Discussion

We examined here whether and how COVID-19 threat was associated with intergroup attitudes in the U.K.. We did not find evidence that COVID-19 threat was associated with in-group attitude nor attitude toward Italy. Yet, we did detect a weak negative relationship between COVID-19 threat

and attitude toward China. These differential results are more consistent with the norm violation account (Karinen et al., 2019) than the out-group avoidance account (Fincher & Thornhill, 2008, 2012).

Our meta-analyses, overall, provided little evidence that COVID-19 threat is meaningfully associated with in-group attitudes. Imada and Mifune (2021) suggested that fear of contracting a disease might not necessarily be relevant to in-group attitudes. They argue that pathogen stress had shaped in-group-oriented attitudes because this would help individuals acquire social support when they are sick and in need of support. In other words, the BIS would foster positive in-group attitudes as a reactive immune response against pathogen infections but not against pathogen threat per se. It is therefore less surprising that perceived threat of COVID-19 was unrelated to in-group attitudes.

Perceived COVID-19 threat was also barely associated with attitudes toward two out-groups, conflicting with previous findings on the BIS and intergroup attitudes (e.g., Fincher & Thornhill, 2012; Kusche & Barker, 2019). However, the null results could be attributed to the nature of our measure of attitudes, which focused on out-groups rather than immigrants or out-group members themselves. Although countries with high COVID-19 infection rates could be perceived as sources of pathogen infection, it is the members of these countries who could bring and expose the in-group to COVID-19. Future studies might need to investigate attitudes toward Italian and Chinese people instead of Italy and China. That being said, our results did reveal a stronger association with attitudes toward China than Italy, suggesting that respondents still made a difference between target countries. In line with this view, recent research finds that interpersonal value (i.e., how much one has in common and appreciates a person) is used as a cue for tolerating infection-risky interactions (Tybur et al., 2020). While China is culturally more different from the U.K. than Italy is, recent findings suggest that COVID-19 might have increased the perceived infectiousness of the out-group of Asian people in particular (Makhanova, 2022). An

<sup>1</sup>One could wonder whether an exposure index relying solely on contracting the virus (i.e., the first two items) would be a more relevant covariate (i.e., focusing on the first two items and discarding the measure of being affected in other ways). It can be noted that results remain unchanged in terms of coefficients,  $p$ -values, and effect sizes, whether the 2- or 3-item exposure index is used as covariate.

**Table 1**  
*Descriptive Statistics and Correlations for the Overall Sample (N = 1,548)*


Variables	M (SD)	Pearson's correlations						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Attitude toward U.K.	71.94 (22.03)	—						
2. Attitude toward China	42.69 (27.33)	.12***	—					
3. Attitude toward Italy	65.21 (21.57)	.42***	.41***	—				
4. COVID-19 threat	4.40 (0.91)	.05*	-.04	.05*	—			
5. Political orientation	4.02 (1.36)	.21***	-.30***	-.11***	-.05	—		
6. Age	55.99 (14.80)	.10***	-.08	.01	.06*	.15***	—	
7. Exposure to COVID-19	0.83 (1.57)	-.01	.05	.05*	.05	-.05*	-.06*	—
8. Subjective status	4.33 (1.34)	.13***	-.002	.12***	.02	.10***	.24***	.01

\*  $p < .05$ . \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

alternative explanation might therefore be that Italy and China differed, for our participants, not just in terms of cultural similarity but also in terms of actual infectious risk.

Our single-item measure of threat, potentially conflating a variety of threats such as pathogen and economic threat, might also have been suboptimal,

**Figure 1**  
*Forest Plot of the Associations Between COVID-19 Threat and Intergroup Attitudes*



Note. (a) COVID-19 threat 3 target country interaction, and simple slopes of attitudes toward (b) the U.K., (c) China, and (d) Italy.

blurring the strength of the relationship between the BIS and out-group attitudes. It is also a less narrow measure than the infection threats discussed in most classic BIS literature, a distinction that might be responsible for some differences in the results observed. That being said, at the time of data collection media coverage and people's perception of the consequences from the pandemic were rather intertwined, with health aspects completely mixed with economic and even more symbolic aspects. In other words, perception of one type of threat was likely very much connected to perceptions of other threats (see <https://osf.io/b2zuf/> for additional data on this point). We are therefore confident that our measure, albeit not perfect, was still suitable to apprehend perceived threat from COVID-19. Still, future studies might want to employ items that can better distinguish between different types of threats and directly measure pathogen-specific threat perception, to potentially reveal stronger association between COVID-19 threat and intergroup attitudes.

Methodological considerations put aside, our results could also suggest that pathogen threat is not associated with attitude toward out-groups after all, which is in line with recent theorizing that it might not be out-group membership per se that is related to the BIS (Bressan, 2021; van Leeuwen & Petersen, 2018). Previous studies on the BIS and out-group attitudes have found associations between pathogen threat and negative out-group attitudes, but these studies predominantly focused on attitudes toward immigrants. Immigrants by definition live within the in-group and, as such, differ from out-group members who are assumed to live outside of the in-group natal area (Fincher & Thornhill, 2012). According to both the out-group avoidance and norm violation accounts,

individuals would hold negative attitudes toward out-group members present in the in-group (i.e., immigrants) more strongly than they would toward completely out-group members. Indeed, individuals can easily encounter immigrants who might possess dangerous pathogens and, assuming that they expect immigrants to be unlikely to follow in-group norms, immigrants would appear dangerous for controlling pathogen prevalence in the in-group. It might also be worth distinguishing recently arrived and already-settled immigrants. Both the norm violation account and positive intergroup contact theory suggest that well-settled immigrants would trigger less negative attitudes, either because they demonstrate conformity with ingroup norms and/or because of repeated instances of positive contact.

Overall, our research suggested that perceived threat from COVID-19 was barely associated with intergroup attitude. Our findings call for the careful examination of toward which out-groups the BIS would promote negative attitudes.

## References

- Aaroe, L., Petersen, M. B., & Arceneaux, K. (2017). The behavioral immune system shapes political intuitions: Why and how individual differences in disgust sensitivity underlie opposition to immigration. *The American Political Science Review*, *111*(2), 277–294. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055416000770>
- Abrams, D., & Travaglino, G. A. (2018). Immigration, political trust, and Brexit - Testing an aversion amplification hypothesis. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *57*(2), 310–326. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12233>
- Ackerman, J. M., Becker, D. V., Mortensen, C. R., Sasaki, T., Neuberg, S. L., & Kenrick, D. T. (2009). A pox on the mind: Disjunction of attention and memory in the processing of physical disfigurement. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *45*(3), 478–485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2008.12.008>
- Ackerman, J. M., Hill, S. E., & Murray, D. R. (2018). The behavioral immune system: Current concerns and future directions. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, *12*(2), 57–70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12371>
- Ackerman, J. M., Tybur, J. M., & Blackwell, A. D. (2021). What role does pathogen-avoidance psychology play in pandemics? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *25*(3), 177–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2020.11.008>
- Adam-Troian, J., & Bagci, C. (2021). The pathogen paradox: Evidence that perceived COVID-19 threat is associated with both pro- and anti-immigrant attitudes. *International Review of Social Psychology*, *34*(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.5334/irsp.469>
- Bressan, P. (2021). Strangers look sicker (with implications in times of COVID-19). *BioEssays*, *43*(3), e2000158. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.202000158>
- Chua, R. Y. J., Huang, K. G., & Jin, M. (2019). Mapping cultural tightness and its links to innovation, urbanization, and happiness across 31 provinces in China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *116*(14), 6720–6725. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1815723116>
- de Barra, M., & Curtis, V. (2012). Are the pathogens of out-groups really more dangerous? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *35*(2), 85–86. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X11000975>
- Dobson, A. P., & Carper, E. R. (1996). Infectious diseases and human population history. *Bioscience*, *46*(2), 115–126. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1312814>
- Duncan, L. A., & Schaller, M. (2009). Prejudicial attitudes toward older adults may be exaggerated when people feel vulnerable to infectious disease: Evidence and implications. *Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy*, *9*(1), 97–115. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-2415.2009.01188.x>
- Eriksson, K., Strimling, P., Gelfand, M., Wu, J., Abernathy, J., Akotia, C. S., Aldashev, A., Andersson, P. A., Andrighetto, G., Anum, A., Arikan, G., Aycaan, Z., Bagherian, F., Barrera, D., Basnight-Brown, D., Batkeyev, B., Belaus, A., Berezina, E., Björnstjerna, M., . . . Van Lange, P. A. M. (2021). Perceptions of the appropriate response to norm violation in 57 societies. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), Article 1481. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21602-9>
- Faulkner, J., Schaller, M., Park, J. H., & Duncan, L. A. (2004). Evolved disease-avoidance mechanisms and contemporary xenophobic attitudes. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, *7*(4), 333–353. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430204046142>
- Fincher, C. L., & Thornhill, R. (2008). Assortative sociality, limited dispersal, infectious disease and the genesis of the global pattern of religion diversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *275*(1651), 2587–2594. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.0688>
- Fincher, C. L., & Thornhill, R. (2012). Parasite-stress promotes in-group assortative sociality: The cases of strong family ties and heightened religiosity. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *35*(2), 61–79. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X11000021>
- Gelfand, M. J., Raver, J. L., Nishii, L., Leslie, L. M., Lun, J., Lim, B. C., Duan, L., Almaliach, A., Ang, S., Arnadottir, J., Aycaan, Z., Boehnke, K., Boski, P., Cabecinhas, R., Chan, D., Chhokar, J., D'Amato, A., Ferrer, M., Fischlmayr, I. C., . . . Yamaguchi, S. (2011). Differences between tight and loose cultures: A 33-nation study. *Science*,

- 332(6033), 1100–1104. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1197754>
- Haselton, M. G., & Buss, D. M. (2000). Error management theory: A new perspective on biases in cross-sex mind reading. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78(1), 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.78.1.81>
- Hodson, G., Choma, B. L., Boisvert, J., Hafer, C. L., MacInnis, C. C., & Costello, K. (2013). The role of intergroup disgust in predicting negative out-group evaluations. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 49(2), 195–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2012.11.002>
- Huang, J. Y., Sedlovskaya, A., Ackerman, J. M., & Bargh, J. A. (2011). Immunizing against prejudice: Effects of disease protection on attitudes toward out-groups. *Psychological Science*, 22(12), 1550–1556. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611417261>
- Imada, H., & Mifune, N. (2021). Pathogen Threat and In-group Cooperation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 678188. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.678188>
- Ji, T., Tybur, J. M., & van Vugt, M. (2019). Generalized or Origin-Specific Out-Group Prejudice?: The Role of Temporary and Chronic Pathogen-Avoidance Motivation in Intergroup Relations. *Evolutionary Psychology*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474704919826851>
- Karinen, A. K., Molho, C., Kupfer, T. R., & Tybur, J. M. (2019). Disgust sensitivity and opposition to immigration: Does contact avoidance or resistance to foreign norms explain the relationship? *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 84, 103817. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2019.103817>
- Kim, H. S., Sherman, D. K., & Updegraff, J. A. (2016). Fear of Ebola: The Influence of Collectivism on Xenophobic Threat Responses. *Psychological Science*, 27(7), 935–944. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616642596>
- Klavina, L., Buunk, A. P., & Pollet, T. V. (2011). Out-group mating threat and disease threat increase implicit negative attitudes toward the out-group among men. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2(May), 76. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00076>
- Krings, F., Green, E. T., Bangerter, A., Staerklé, C., Clémence, A., Wagner-Egger, P., & Bornand, T. (2012). Preventing contagion with avian influenza: Disease salience, attitudes toward foreigners, and avoidance beliefs. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 42(6), 1451–1466. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2012.00907.x>
- Kusche, I., & Barker, J. L. (2019). Pathogens and immigrants: A critical appraisal of the behavioral immune system as an explanation of prejudice against ethnic outgroups. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10(October), 2412. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02412>
- Laakasuo, M., Köbis, N., Palomäki, J., & Jokela, M. (2018). Money for microbes-pathogen avoidance and out-group helping behaviour. *International Journal of Psychology*, 53(Suppl. 1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12416>
- Lavrakas, P. (2012). *Encyclopedia of survey research methods*. Sage, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412963947>
- Makhanova, A. (2022). The behavioral immune system and intergroup bias: Evidence for Asian-specific bias at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Evolutionary Psychological Science*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-022-00321-4>
- Miller, S. L., & Maner, J. K. (2012). Overperceiving disease cues: The basic cognition of the behavioral immune system. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 102(6), 1198–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027198>
- Murray, D. R., Trudeau, R., & Schaller, M. (2011). On the origins of cultural differences in conformity: Four tests of the pathogen prevalence hypothesis. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 37(3), 318–329. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167210394451>
- Navarrete, C. D., & Fessler, D. M. T. (2006). Disease avoidance and ethnocentrism: The effects of disease vulnerability and disgust sensitivity on intergroup attitudes. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 27(4), 270–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2005.12.001>
- Park, J. H., Schaller, M., & Crandall, C. S. (2007). Pathogen-avoidance mechanisms and the stigmatization of obese people. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 28(6), 410–414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2007.05.008>
- Schaller, M. (2006). Parasites, Behavioral Defenses, and the Social Psychological Mechanisms Through Which Cultures Are Evoked. *Psychological Inquiry*, 17(2), 96–137. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1702\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1702_2)
- Schaller, M. (2011). The behavioural immune system and the psychology of human sociality. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 366(1583), 3418–3426. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0029>
- Schaller, M., & Park, J. H. (2011). The behavioral immune system (and why it matters). *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 20(2), 99–103. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721411402596>
- Schaller, M., Park, J., & Faulkner, J. (2003). Pre-historic dangers and contemporary prejudices. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 14(1), 105–137. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1046328034000036>
- Tybur, J. M., Lieberman, D., Fan, L., Kupfer, T. R., & de Vries, R. E. (2020). Behavioral immune trade-offs: Interpersonal value relaxes

- social pathogen avoidance. *Psychological Science*, 31(10), 1211–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797620960011>
- van Leeuwen, F., & Petersen, M. B. (2018). The behavioral immune system is designed to avoid infected individuals, not outgroups. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 39(2), 226–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2017.12.003>
- Wolfe, N. D., Dunavan, C. P., & Diamond, J. (2007). Origins of major human infectious diseases. *Nature*, 447(7142), 279–283. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05775>
- Yamagata, M., Teraguchi, T., & Miura, A. (2021). Effects of pathogen-avoidance tendency on infection-prevention behaviors and exclusionary attitudes toward foreigners: A longitudinal study of the COVID-19 outbreak in Japan. *Japanese Psychological Research*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpr.12377>

Received March 9, 2022

Revision received July 2, 2022

Accepted July 6, 2022 ■